arXiv:1701.02714v1 [cs.SY] 10 Jan 2017

H-infinity Filtering for Cloud-Aided Semi-active Suspension with Delayed Information

Zhaojian Li and Ilya Kolmanovsky and Ella Atkins and Jianbo Lu and Dimitar Filev

Abstract This chapter presents an H_∞ filtering framework for cloud-aided semi-active suspension system with time-varying delays. In this system, road profile information is downloaded from a cloud database to facilitate onboard estimation of suspension states. Time-varying data transmission delays are considered and assumed to be bounded. A quarter-car linear suspension model is used and an H_∞ filter is designed with both onboard sensor measurements and delayed road profile information from the cloud. The filter design procedure is designed based on linear matrix inequalities (LMIs). Numerical simulation results are reported that illustrates the fusion of cloud-based and on-board information that can be achieved in Vehicle-to-Cloud-to-Vehicle (V2C2V) implementation.

Zhaojian Li
Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Michigan, 1221 Beal Avenue, Ann Arbor, MI, USA 48109, e-mail: zhaojli@umich.edu

Ilya Kolmanovsky
Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Michigan, 1221 Beal Avenue, Ann Arbor, MI, USA 48109, e-mail: ilya@umich.edu

Ella Atkins
Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Michigan, 1221 Beal Avenue, Ann Arbor, MI, USA 48109, e-mail: ematkins@umich.edu

Jianbo Lu
Research & Advanced Engineering, Ford Motor Company, 2101 Village Rd, Dearborn, MI, USA 48124, e-mail: jlu10@ford.com

Dimitar Filev
Research & Advanced Engineering, Ford Motor Company, 2101 Village Rd, Dearborn, MI, USA 48124, e-mail: dfilev@ford.com

1 Introduction

The interest in employing cloud computing for automotive applications is growing to support computation and data intensive tasks [1, 2, 3]. The cloud can provide access to "big data" as well as real-time crowd-sourced information. Smart utilization of on-demand cloud resources can increase situation awareness and provide additional functionality. In addition, computation and data intensive tasks can be out-sourced to the cloud, enabling advanced and computation intensive algorithms to be implemented in real time. While embedded vehicle processors remain essential for time-critical applications, cloud computing can extend current control functionalities with additional functions to enhance performance [1].

Numerous automotive functions have been identified as candidates for Vehicle-to-Cloud-to-Vehicle (V2C2V) implementations [2]. In particular, a Cloud-aided safety-based route planning system has been proposed that exploits road risk index database and real-time factors like traffic and weather, and generates a "safe" route [4, 5]. A cloud-based road anomaly detection and crowd-sourcing framework has been proposed in [6]. The cloud-aided vehicle semi-active suspension control system is another potential application [7], in which road profile data from the cloud is exploited. In this chapter, we consider the state estimation problem for the cloud-aided semi-active suspension system.

While cloud database can provide large quantity of information, its use for vehicle control is hindered by inevitable time delays in information transmission. While there are proposed mechanisms (e.g., exploiting multiple communication channels [8, 9]) to alleviate the effects of time delays, it is well acknowledged that delays can cause system instability and performance degradation and thus have to be considered in control and filter design. The architecture of V2C2V system with time delays is illustrated in Figure. 1. When needed, the vehicle can send a data request together with its GPS coordinates to the cloud. Then the cloud will send the requested data to the vehicle. The messages are exchanged with a wireless communication channel where vehicle-to-cloud delay (τ_{v2c}) and cloud-to-vehicle delay (τ_{c2v}) occur.

In this chapter, we consider the design of an observer for a V2C2V semi-active suspension system. Unlike traditional white-noise road disturbance treatment [10, 11, 12], in our system road profile information is downloaded from the cloud to facilitate the suspension state estimation. There are two main approaches in filter design for linear systems. One is H_2 filtering which minimizes estimation error variance. The other is H_∞ filtering which is based on H_∞ performance criterion. H_∞ techniques are popular in stability analysis and filter design, even in cases with time delays [13, 14]. In this chapter, we develop an H_∞ filtering approach for V2C2V semi-active suspension with time-varying information delays. The filter design is reduced to linear matrix inequalities (LMIs), which can be solved by standard LMI solvers.

This book chapter is an extension of our previous conference paper [15]. The main contribution of this chapter is the illustration of the potential to fuse cloud-based and on-board measurements in V2C2V systems to facilitate state estimation



Fig. 1: Info-based V2C2V system with time delays.

and the developments of H-infinity filtering framework for handling communication delays.

The rest of this chapter is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the preliminaries and problem formulation of the H_∞ filtering for info-based V2C2V semi-active suspension system. In Section 3, stability and H_∞ filter performance analysis results are presented and derived in terms of LMIs. Section 4 presents the design procedure for the H-infinity filter. Numerical simulations are developed in Section 5. Section 6 concludes the chapter.

2 Problem formulation

In this chapter, we consider the filtering problem for cloud-aided semi-active suspension. Quarter-car models are often used for suspension control design [10, 11, 12], because they are simple yet capture many important characteristics of the full-car model. A quarter-car model, with 2 degrees of freedom (DOF), as shown in Fig. 2, is used. The M_s and M_{us} represent the car body (sprung) mass and the tire and axles (unsprung mass), respectively. The spring and shock absorber with adjustable damping ratio constitute the suspension system, connecting sprung (body) and unsprung (wheel assembly) masses. The tire is modeled as a spring with stiffness k_{us} and its damping ratio is assumed to be negligible in the suspension formulation. From Fig. 2, we have the following equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= x_2 - \alpha w - \dot{r}_o, \\ M_{us}\dot{x}_2 &= -k_{us}x_1 + k_s x_3 + c_s(x_4 - x_2) + u, \\ \dot{x}_3 &= x_4 - x_2, \\ M_s\dot{x}_4 &= -k_s x_3 - c_s(x_4 - x_2) - u, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$



Fig. 2: Semi-active suspension dynamics.

where x_1 is the tire deflection from equilibrium; x_2 is the unsprung mass velocity; x_3 is the suspension deflection from equilibrium; x_4 is the sprung mass velocity; $\dot{r}_o$ represents the deterministic *velocity* disturbance due to the known road profile; w represents the unknown road disturbance and α is a scaling factor; c_s is the constant damping and u is adjustable damper force; k_s and k_{us} are suspension and tire stiffness, respectively.

Defining $x = [x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3 \quad x_4]^T$, the suspension system model can be written as

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu + B_r\dot{r}_o + B_w w, \tag{2}$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{k_{us}}{M_{us}} & -\frac{c_s}{M_{us}} & \frac{k_s}{M_{us}} & \frac{c_s}{M_{us}} \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{c_s}{M_s} & -\frac{k_s}{M_s} & -\frac{c_s}{M_s}p \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{M_{us}} \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{M_s} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

$$B_r = [-1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0]^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad B_w = [-\alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0]^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

For vehicles equipped with semi-active suspension, measurements of vertical wheel velocity (x_2), suspension deflection (x_3) and body velocity (x_4) are typically available, while tire deflection is hard to measure. Let y_0 denote the measurements and z denote the objective signal to be estimated, we augment (2) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= Ax + Bu + B_r\dot{r}_o + B_w w, \\ y_0 &= [x_2 \quad x_3 \quad x_4]^{\mathrm{T}} = C_0 x + D_0 w, \\ z &= x, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $C_0 = [0_{3\times 1} \quad I_3]$.



Fig. 3: V2C2V Suspension Control System.

Figure 3 illustrates the developed cloud-based vehicle software agent that has access to stored vehicle parameters (M_{us}, M_s, k_{us}, k_s, R, $c_{s,i}$), receives vehicle state estimate, $\hat{x}$, vehicle longitudinal velocity, v_{car}, wheel speed, ω, and GPS coordinates, and sends road profile information, $\dot{r}_o$ for use by on-board vehicle state estimator. The received road profile will be delayed in the wireless communication channel. Thus, we will have a delayed measurement of the road profile onboard, that is

$$y_1(t) = \dot{r}_0(t-\tau(t)) + D_1 w(t), \tag{5}$$

where $\tau(t)$ is the time-varying delay.

Assumption 1 *The time delay $\tau(t)$ is time varying and $\tau_m \le \tau(t) \le \tau_M$, where τ_m and τ_M are the lower and upper bound of the delay. In the sequel, we use τ to represent $\tau(t)$ when there is no confusion.*

For the filter design, we assume that the road profile is modeled as $\ddot{r}_0 = D_r w$, and we let $u(t) \equiv 0$. Then combining (4) and defining the augmented state as $x_a = [x^{\mathrm{T}} \quad \dot{r}_0^{\mathrm{T}}]^{\mathrm{T}}$ and augmented measurement output $y_a = \begin{bmatrix} y_0^{\mathrm{T}} & y_1^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_a(t) &= A_a x_a(t) + B_a w(t), \\ y_a(t) &= C_{a0} x_a(t) + C_{a1} x_a(t-\tau(t)) + D_a w(t), \\ z(t) &= E_a x_a(t), \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $A_a = \begin{bmatrix} A & B_r \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $B_a = \begin{bmatrix} B_w \\ D_r \end{bmatrix}$, $C_{a0} = \begin{bmatrix} C_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $C_{a1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$, $D_a = \begin{bmatrix} D_0 \\ D_1 \end{bmatrix}$, $E_a = [I \quad 0]$.

A linear time invariant filter for system (6) has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\hat{x}}(t) &= K_A \hat{x}(t) + K_B y_a(t), \\ \hat{z}(t) &= K_C \hat{x}(t), \\ \hat{x}(0) &= x_a(0), \hat{x}(s) = 0, \forall s \in [-\tau, 0]. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Let $\eta(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x_a(t) \\ \hat{x}(t) \end{bmatrix}$ denote the extended state. Then we have the following dynamics

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\eta}(t) &= \bar{A}\eta(t) + \bar{A}_d x_a(t-\tau) + \bar{B}w(t), \\ e(t) &\triangleq z(t) - \hat{z}(t) = \bar{C}\eta(t), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A_a & 0 \\ K_B C_{a0} & K_A \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{A}_d = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ K_B C_{a1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} B_a \\ K_B D_a \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{C} = [E_a \quad -K_C].$$

The desired H_∞ filter problem addressed in this chapter can be formulated as follows: given system (6) and a prescribed level of noise attenuation $\gamma > 0$, determine a linear filter in the form (7) such that the filtering error system is asymptotically stable and

$$\sup_{w \in L_2[0,\infty)} \frac{\|e(t)\|_2^2}{\|w\|_2^2} < \gamma^2. \tag{9}$$

Before ending this section, we present the following lemmas which will be used in the proofs of subsequent sections.

Lemma 1. *[16]: The linear matrix inequalities*

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{12}^T & S_{22} \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

where $S_{11} = S_{11}^T$ and $S_{22} = S_{22}^T$ are equivalent to

$$S_{11} < 0, \quad S_{22} - S_{12}^T S_{11}^{-1} S_{12} < 0$$

or

$$S_{22} < 0, \quad S_{11} - S_{12} S_{22}^{-1} S_{12}^T < 0.$$

Lemma 1 is the well-know Schur complement lemma.

Lemma 2. *[17]: Let X, Y be real constant matrices of compatible dimensions. Then*

$$X^T Y + Y^T X \leq \varepsilon X^T X + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} Y^T Y$$

holds for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Lemma 3. *[18] If f, g: $[a \quad b] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ are similarly ordered, that is,*

$$(f(x) - f(y))^T (g(x) - g(y)) \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in [a, b], \tag{10}$$

then,

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) g(x) dx \geq \Big[\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx\Big] \Big[\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x) dx\Big]. \tag{11}$$

Lemma 3 is a Chebyshev's inequality under similarly-ordered conditions.

3 H_∞ performance analysis

In this section, sufficient conditions of existence of the H_∞ filter are derived as LMIs.

Theorem 1. *Let K_A, K_B, K_C be given matrices and γ be a given positive scalar. If there exist symmetric matrices $P>0$, $Q_1>0$, $Q_2>0$, satisfying*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Psi & P\bar{B} & \bar{C}^T & \Gamma \\ * & -\gamma^2 I+\tau_M Q_2 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & -I & 0 \\ * & * & * & -\frac{1}{\tau_M} diag\{Q_1,Q_2\} \end{bmatrix} < 0 \tag{12}$$

where

$$\Psi = P[\bar{A}+\bar{A}_d I_0]+[\bar{A}+\bar{A}_d I_0]^T P+\tau_M I_0^T Q_1 I_0, \quad \Gamma=[P\bar{A}_d A_a \quad P\bar{A}_d B_a], \quad I_0=[I \quad 0],$$

then the error system (8) is asymptotically stable and satisfies (9).

Proof. Note:

$$\begin{aligned} x_a(t-\tau) &= x_a(t)-\int_{t-\tau}^{t} \dot{x}_a(s)ds \\ &= x_a(t)-\int_{t-\tau}^{t} [A_a x_a(s)+B_a w(s)]ds. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Substituting (13) into (8) leads to

$$\dot{\eta}(t) = [\bar{A}+\bar{A}_d I_0]\eta(t)-\bar{A}_d \int_{t-\tau}^{t} [A_a x_a(s)+B_a w(s)]ds+\bar{B}w(t). \tag{14}$$

We next show the stability of the error system (8). Let us consider the following Lyapunov functional candidate

$$V(\eta(t)) = V_0(\eta(t))+V_1(\eta(t))+V_2(\eta(t)), \tag{15}$$

where

$$V_0(\eta(t)) = \eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)P\eta(t),$$

$$V_1(\eta(t)) = \int_{-\tau_M}^{0}\int_{t+\theta}^{t} x_a^{\mathrm{T}}(s)Q_1 x_a(s)ds d\theta,$$

$$V_2(\eta(t)) = \int_{-\tau_M}^{0}\int_{t+\theta}^{t} w^{\mathrm{T}}(s)Q_2 w(s)ds d\theta.$$

In view of (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}_0(\eta(t)) =&\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\left[P[\bar{A}+\bar{A}_dI_0]+[\bar{A}+\bar{A}_dI_0]^{\mathrm{T}}P\right]\eta(t)\\ &-2\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)P\bar{A}_d\left[A_a\int_{t-\tau}^{t}x_a(s)ds+B_a\int_{t-\tau}^{t}w(s)ds\right]+2\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)P\bar{B}w(t).\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Let $X^{\mathrm{T}}=-\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)P\bar{A}_dA_aQ_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $\varepsilon=\tau$, $Y=Q_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_{t-\tau}^{t}x_a(s)ds$. Using Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}-2\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)P\bar{A}_dA_a\int_{t-\tau}^{t}x_a(s)ds\leq&\tau\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)[P\bar{A}_dA_aQ_1^{-1}A_a^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{A}_d^{\mathrm{T}}P]\eta(t)\\ &+\frac{1}{\tau}\int_{t-\tau}^{t}x_a^Tds Q_1\int_{t-\tau}^{t}x_a(s)ds.\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Using Lemma 3, we have

$$\int_{t-\tau}^{t}x_a^T(s)dsQ_1\int_{t-\tau}^{t}x_a(s)ds\leq\tau\int_{t-\tau}^{t}x_a^{\mathrm{T}}(s)Q_1x_a(s)ds. \tag{18}$$

From (17) and (18), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}-2\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)P\bar{A}_dA_a\int_{t-\tau}^{t}x_a(s)ds\leq&\tau\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)[P\bar{A}_dA_aQ_1^{-1}A_a^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{A}_d^{\mathrm{T}}P]\eta(t)\\ &+\int_{t-\tau}^{t}x_a^TQ_1x_a(s)ds.\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}-2\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)P\bar{A}_dB_a\int_{t-\tau}^{t}w(s)ds\leq&\tau\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)P\bar{A}_dB_aQ_2^{-1}B_a^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{A}_d^{\mathrm{T}}P\eta(t)\\ &+\int_{t-\tau}^{t}w^{\mathrm{T}}(s)Q_2w(s)ds.\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Combining (16)-(20) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}_0(\eta(t))\leq&\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\left[P[\bar{A}+\bar{A}_dI_0]+[\bar{A}+\bar{A}_dI_0]^{\mathrm{T}}P\right]\eta(t)\\ &+\tau\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)[P\bar{A}_dA_aQ_1^{-1}A_a^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{A}_d^{\mathrm{T}}P]\eta(t)\\ &+\int_{t-\tau}^{t}x_a^T(s)Q_1x_a(s)ds\\ &+\tau\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)P\bar{A}_dB_aQ_2^{-1}B_a^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{A}_d^{\mathrm{T}}P\eta(t)\\ &+\int_{t-\tau}^{t}w^{\mathrm{T}}(s)Q_2w(s)ds+2\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)P\bar{B}w(t).\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Simple computations yield

$$\dot{V}_1(\eta(t))=-\int_{t-\tau_M}^{t}x_a^{\mathrm{T}}(s)Q_1x_a(s)ds+\tau_Mx_a^{\mathrm{T}}(t)Q_1x_a(t) \tag{22}$$

Also,

$$\dot{V}_2(\eta(t)) = \tau_M w^{\mathrm{T}}(t) Q_2 w(t) - \int_{t-\tau_M}^{t} w^{\mathrm{T}}(s) Q_2 w(s) ds. \tag{23}$$

Combining (21), (22) and (23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}(\eta(t)) \leq\ & \eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\left[P[\bar{A}+\bar{A}_d I_0] + [\bar{A}+\bar{A}_d I_0]^{\mathrm{T}} P\right]\eta(t) \\
& + \tau_M \eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)[P\bar{A}_d A_a Q_1^{-1} A_a^{\mathrm{T}} \bar{A}_d^{\mathrm{T}} P]\eta(t) \\
& + \tau_M \eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t) P\bar{A}_d B_a Q_2^{-1} B_a^{\mathrm{T}} \bar{A}_d^{\mathrm{T}} P\eta(t) \\
& + \tau_M x_a^{\mathrm{T}}(t) Q_1 x_a(t) + \tau_M w^{\mathrm{T}}(t) Q_2 w(t) + 2\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t) P\bar{B} w(t) \\
=\ & [\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\, w^{\mathrm{T}}(t)]\Phi \begin{bmatrix}\eta(t)\\ w(t)\end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix}\Psi & P\bar{B}\\ \bar{B}^{\mathrm{T}}P & \tau_M Q_2\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}\tau_M \Gamma\, diag\{Q_1^{-1}, Q_2^{-1}\}\Gamma^{\mathrm{T}} & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}.$$

Using Lemma 1, it is straightforward to check that (12) implies $\Phi < 0$, which concludes the proof of stability.
Now let's define an H_∞ performance J_T as follows:

$$J_T = \int_0^T [e^{\mathrm{T}}(t)e(t) - \gamma^2 w^{\mathrm{T}}(t)w(t)]dt.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_T &= \int_0^T [e^{\mathrm{T}}(t)e(t) - \gamma^2 w^{\mathrm{T}}(t)w(t)]dt \\
&= \int_0^T [e^{\mathrm{T}}(t)e(t) - \gamma^2 w^{\mathrm{T}}(t)w(t) + \dot{V}(\eta(t)) - \dot{V}(\eta(t))]dt \\
&= \int_0^T [e^{\mathrm{T}}(t)e(t) - \gamma^2 w^{\mathrm{T}}(t)w(t) + \dot{V}(\eta(t))]dt - V(\eta(T)) \\
&\leq \int_0^T [\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\, w^{\mathrm{T}}(t)]\Theta \begin{bmatrix}\eta(t)\\ w(t)\end{bmatrix} dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where

$$\Theta = \begin{bmatrix}\Psi + \bar{C}^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{C} & P\bar{B}\\ \bar{B}^{\mathrm{T}}P & -\gamma^2 I + \tau_M Q_2\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}\tau_M \Gamma\, diag\{Q_1^{-1}, Q_2^{-1}\}\Gamma^{\mathrm{T}} & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}. \tag{26}$$

Using Schur complement as in Lemma 1, it is straight to check that (12) implies $\Theta < 0$ and consequently

$$J_T < 0 \quad \forall T > 0,$$

and it follows that

$$J_\infty = \int_0^\infty [e^{\mathrm{T}}(t)e(t) - \gamma^2 w^{\mathrm{T}}(t)w(t)]dt < 0,$$

that is $\|e\|_2 \leq \gamma \|w\|_2$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

4 Filter design

In this section, we present the design of filter gains K_A, K_B and K_C in (7).
We note that using Schur complement, it can be shown that (12) is equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Psi_1 & P\bar{B} & \bar{C}^{\mathrm{T}} & \Gamma_1 \\ * & -\gamma^2 I + \tau_M Q_2 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & -I & 0 \\ * & * & * & -1/\tau_M \mathscr{Q} \end{bmatrix} < 0 \tag{27}$$

where

$$\Psi_1 = P[\bar{A} + \bar{A}_d I_0] + [\bar{A} + \bar{A}_d I_0]^{\mathrm{T}} P, \quad \Gamma_1 = [P\bar{A}_d A_a \; P\bar{A}_d B_a \; I_0^{\mathrm{T}}],$$
$$\mathscr{Q} = diag\{Q_1, Q_2, Q_1^{-1}\}.$$

Assume that P and P^{-1} can be decomposed as follows,

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} Y & N \\ N^{\mathrm{T}} & W_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} X & M \\ M^{\mathrm{T}} & W_2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{28}$$

Then $PP^{-1} = I$ implies

$$\begin{cases} YX + NM^{\mathrm{T}} = I, \\ YM + NW_2 = 0, \\ N^{\mathrm{T}} X + W_1 M^{\mathrm{T}} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

Define

$$\Phi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} X & I \\ M^{\mathrm{T}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Phi_2 = \begin{bmatrix} I & Y \\ 0 & N^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{30}$$

It can be shown that

$$P\Phi_1 = \Phi_2 \tag{31}$$

and

$$\Phi_1^{\mathrm{T}} P \Phi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} X & I \\ I & Y \end{bmatrix} > 0. \tag{32}$$

Define the following matrices $\mathscr{A}$, $\mathscr{B}$, $\mathscr{C}$:

$$\begin{cases} \mathscr{A} = YA_aX + NK_B(C_{a0} + C_{a1})X + NK_A M^{\mathrm{T}}, \\ \mathscr{B} = NK_B, \\ \mathscr{C} = K_C M^{\mathrm{T}}. \end{cases} \tag{33}$$

With direct matrix calculation, pre- and post- multiplying (27) by $diag\{\Phi_1^{\mathrm{T}}, I, I, I\}$ and $diag\{\Phi_1, I, I, I\}$, respectively, yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 & T_3 & T_4 \\ * & -\gamma^2+\tau_M Q_2 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & -I & 0 \\ * & * & * & -1/\tau_M \mathscr{Q} \end{bmatrix} < 0, \tag{34}$$

where

$$T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} A_a X + X A_a^{\mathrm{T}} & A_a + \mathscr{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ A_a^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathscr{A} & \begin{pmatrix} YA_a + A_a^{\mathrm{T}} Y + \mathscr{B}(C_{a0}+C_{a1}) \\ +(C_{a0}+C_{a1})^{\mathrm{T}} \mathscr{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} B_a \\ YB_a + \mathscr{B} D_a \end{bmatrix}, \quad T_3 = \begin{bmatrix} X E_a^{\mathrm{T}} - \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ E_a^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$T_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ \mathscr{B} C_{a1} A_a & \mathscr{B} C_{a1} B_a & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, if there exist symmetric matrices $X > 0$, $Y > 0$ and matrices $\mathscr{A}$, $\mathscr{B}$, $\mathscr{C}$ that satisfy (34), then the H_∞ criterion is satisfied and filter gains can be obtained by solving (33). We next give the following Lemma which can be used to design the filter

Theorem 2. *If there exist symmetric matrices $X > 0$, $Y > 0$ and matrices $\mathscr{A}$, $\mathscr{B}$, $\mathscr{C}$ that satisfy (34) and (32) with a given positive constant γ, then there exist matrices K_A, K_B, K_C such that the error system (8) is stable and (9) is satisfied. The filter gains are*

$$K_A = N^{-1}[\mathscr{A} - YA_aX - \mathscr{B}(C_{a0}+C_{a1})X](M^T)^{-1},$$
$$K_B = N^{-1}\mathscr{B}, \quad K_C = \mathscr{C}(M^T)^{-1}.$$

Proof. (32) guarantees that there is a positive definite matrix P that can be decomposed as in (28). Then it is easy to check that defined K_A, K_B, K_C and P satisfies (12).

Remark: To implement the algorithm, first solve LMIs (32) and (34) to get X, Y, $\mathscr{A}$, $\mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{C}$. Then using $MN^{\mathrm{T}} = I - XY$ as in (29), M and N can be obtained by singular value decomposition. Then define Φ_1 and Φ_2 as in (30), P can be solved using (31). Then the filter gains can be computed as in Theorem 2.

5 Simulations

In this section, we present numerical simulations to illustrate the effectiveness of our designed filter. The simulation parameters are illustrated in Table 1. For simulations, a road segment over a 10 sec horizon is modeled as follows,

$$\dot{r}_o(t) = \begin{cases} 0.15 \cdot \sin \pi(t-1) & 1s \le t \le 3s, \\ 0.2 \cdot \sin \pi/2t & 4s \le t \le 8s, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Table 1: Simulation parameters

m_s	m_{us}	k_s	k_{us}	c_s	α
290 kg	60 kg	16800 N/m	19000 N/m	200 $N \cdot s/m$	0.1

See Figure 4. Let $\gamma = 0.5$ and $\tau_M = 0.5$ sec, we aim at a filter in form of (7) such



Fig. 4: Road grade profile ($\dot{r}_0$).

that (9) is satisfied. Applying Theorem 2 with Matlab LMI toolbox, filter gains K_A, K_B and K_C in (7) are obtained.

With the obtained filter, estimates of x_3 and x_4 are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, respectively. Plots of estimates of x_1 and x_2 are not shown due to space limit. The H_∞ filter performance is compared with a Kalman filter assuming no knowledge of the road profile information. It can be seen that by using road profile from the cloud with small delays (e.g., 0.2 sec), our designed H_∞ filtering has better performances than the traditional Kalman filter. However, with larger time delays, the estimation performance can be worse than the Kalman filter. Note that from practical standpoint the delays in V2C2V system can be reduced if sufficient communication bandwidth and on-board memory storage is available so that the road profile information can be transmitted in advance.

6 Conclusions

In this chapter we studied an H_∞ filtering problem for cloud-aided semi-active suspension where road profile information was sent from the cloud to the vehicle to compliment on-board measurements. We have studied this problem under the assumption that there are delays in transmitting the information from the cloud to the vehicle. Sufficient conditions of existence of the H_∞ filter were given in terms



Fig. 5: Suspension deflection x_3



Fig. 6: Sprung mass velocity x_4

of linear matrix inequalities. The explicit expressions of the filter parameters were derived. A numerical example illustrated that the proposed filter framework has a potential for performance than traditional Kalman filter when the communication delay is not very large.

References

1. D. Filev, J. Lu, and D. Hrovat, "Future mobility: Integrating vehicle control with cloud computing," *ASME Dynamic System and Control Magazine*, vol. 1, pp. 18–24, 2013.
2. N. Fernando, S. W. Loke, and W. Rahayu, "Mobile cloud computing: A survey," *Future Generation Computer Systems*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 84 – 106, 2013. Including Special section: AIRCC-NetCoM 2009 and Special section: Clouds and Service-Oriented Architectures.
3. R. Mangharam, "The car and the cloud: Automotive architectures for 2020," *The Bridge*, vol. 42, no. 4, 2012.
4. Z. Li, I. Kolmanovsky, E. Atkins, J. Lu, D. Filev, and J. Michelini, "Cloud aided safety-based route planning," in *2014 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*

(SMC), pp. 2495–2500, Oct 2014.
5. Z. Li, I. Kolmanovsky, E. Atkins, J. Lu, D. P. Filev, and J. Michelini, "Road risk modeling and cloud-aided safety-based route planning," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. PP, no. 99, pp. 1–11, 2015.
6. Z. Li, I. Kolmanovsky, E. Atkins, J. Lu, and D. Filev, "Road anomaly estimation: Model based pothole detection," in *American Control Conference (ACC), 2015*, pp. 1315–1320, July 2015.
7. Z. Li, I. Kolmanovsky, E. Atkins, J. Lu, D. Filev, and J. Michelini, "Cloud aided semi-active suspension control," in *Computational Intelligence in Vehicles and Transportation Systems (CIVTS), 2014 IEEE Symposium on*, pp. 76–83, Dec 2014.
8. Z. Li, X. Yin, I. Kolmanovsky, J. Lu, D. Filev, and E. Atkins, "Robust h??? control for a class of networked uncertain systems with multiple channels subject to markovian switching," in *2015 54th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)*, pp. 6856–6861, Dec 2015.
9. Z. Li, X. Yin, I. Kolmanovsky, J. Lu, D. Filev, and E. Atkins, "Robust h??? control for a class of networked uncertain systems with multiple channels subject to markovian switching," in *2015 54th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)*, pp. 6856–6861, Dec 2015.
10. C. Vassal, S. Savaresi, C. Spelta, O. Sename, and L. Dugard, "A methodology for optimal semi-active suspension systems performance evaluation," in *49th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, (Atlanta, GA), pp. 2892–2897, 2010.
11. N. Giorgetti, A. Bempoard, H. Tseng, and D. Hrovat, "Hybrid model predictive control application towards optimal semi-active suspension," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Industrial Electronics*, (Dubronvnik, Croatia), pp. 391–398, 2005.
12. L. R. Miller, "Tuning passive, semi-active, and fully active suspension systems," in *Decision and Control, 1988., Proceedings of the 27th IEEE Conference on*, pp. 2047–2053, IEEE, 1988.
13. L. Zhang, P. Shi, E.-K. Boukas, and C. Wang, "Robust $l_2 - l_\infty$ filtering for switched linear discrete time-delay systems with polytopic uncertainties," *Control Theory Applications, IET*, vol. 1, pp. 722–730, May 2007.
14. X. Yin, L. Zhang, Y. Zhu, C. Wang, and Z. Li, "Robust control of networked systems with variable communication capabilities and application to a semi-active suspension system," *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, vol. PP, no. 99, pp. 1–1, 2016.
15. Z. Li, I. Kolmanovsky, E. Atkins, J. Lu, and D. Filev, "H_∞ filtering for cloud-aided semi-active suspension with delayed road information," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 48, no. 12, pp. 275 – 280, 2015. 12th IFAC Workshop on Time Delay Systems (TDS) 2015, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA, 28-30 June 2015.
16. S. Boyd, L. El Ghaoui, E. Feron, and V. Balakrishnan, *Linear Matrix Inequalities in System and Control Theory*, vol. 15 of *Studies in Applied Mathematics*. Philadelphia, PA: SIAM, June 1994.
17. E.-K. Boukas and Z.-K. Liu, *Deterministic and Stochastic Time-Delay Systems*. Secaucus, NJ, USA: Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 2002.
18. A. El Farissi and Z. Latreuch, "New type of chebychev-grss inequalities for convex functions," *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, no. 30, pp. 235–245, 2012.